



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,898	03/30/2001	Shannon E. Lawson	LAWSON 4	8915
47396	7590	06/09/2006	EXAMINER WARE, CICELY Q	
HITT GAINES, PC AGERE SYSTEMS INC. PO BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083			ART UNIT 2611	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/821,898

Applicant(s)

LAWSON, SHANNON E.

Examiner

Cicely Ware

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 March 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**, filed 3/27/2006 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 5-6, 10-11, 15 under 35 USC 102(b) and 2-4, 7-9, 12-14, 16, 20, 17-19 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cole et al. (US Patent 6,260,152).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2-4, 5-9, 10-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nguyen (US Patent 5,905,766) in view of Cole et al. (US Patent 6,260,152).

(1) With regard to claim 1, Nguyen discloses in (Figs. 1 and 2) an event edge synchronization system (Fig. 2 (50)), comprising: a first clock zone device (Fig. 2 (20, 52)) configured to generate an event signal based upon a first clock rate; a second clock zone device (Fig. 2 (22, 58)) configured to operate at a second clock rate, which is asynchronous with said first clock rate (col. 1, lines 13-16).

However Nguyen does not disclose a synchronous notification subsystem configured to receive said event signal, synchronize said event signal to said second

Art Unit: 2611

clock rate based upon an edge transition of said event signal and said second clock rate, and generate a synchronous notification signal therefrom.

However Cole et al. discloses in (Fig. 2) a synchronous notification subsystem (30) configured to receive said event signal (LSB), synchronize said event signal (LSB) to said second clock rate (CLK2) based upon an edge transition of said event signal and said second clock rate, and generate a synchronous notification signal therefrom (LSB_SYNC) (Fig. 3, abstract, col. 3, lines 1-64, col. 4, lines 1-64, col. 5, lines 44-66).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nguyen to incorporate a synchronous notification subsystem configured to receive said event signal, synchronize said event signal to said second clock rate based upon an edge transition of said event signal and said second clock rate, and generate a synchronous notification signal therefrom in order to improve synchronizing digital signals responsive to both positive and negative input edges, which result in lower power consumption, manufacturing costs, and failure rates (Cole et al, col. 2, lines 12-16).

(2) With regard to claim 2, claim 2 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Cole et al. further discloses in (Fig. 2) synchronous notification subsystem (30) includes: a first logic device (32) configured to generate a first intermediate signal based upon said event signal and a clock signal of said second clock zone device; a second logic device (34) configured to generate a second intermediate signal based upon said first intermediate signal and said clock signal of said second clock zone device; a third logic device (36) configured to generate a third intermediate signal based upon said second

Art Unit: 2611

intermediate signal and said clock signal of said second clock zone device; and a comparison logic device (38) configured to generate said synchronous notification signal based upon said second and third intermediate signals (abstract, col. 3, lines 3-21, col. 4, lines 1-18).

(3) With regard to claim 3, claim 3 inherits all the limitations of claim 2. Cole et al. further discloses in (Fig. 2) wherein the first (32), second (34), and third (36) logic devices are "D" type flip-flops.

(4) With regard to claim 4, claim 4 inherits all the limitations of claim 2. Cole et al. further discloses in (Fig. 2) wherein the comparison logic device is an exclusive-OR (XOR) gate (38).

(5) With regard to claim 5, claim 5 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Cole et al. further discloses in (Fig. 3) wherein said synchronous notification subsystem (Fig. 2 (30)) synchronizes said event signal (LSB) to said second clock rate (CLK2) based upon a positive edge transition of said event signal.

(6) With regard to claim 6, see rejection of claim 1.

(7) With regard to claim 7, claim 7 inherits all the limitations of claim 6. See rejection of claim 2.

(8) With regard to claim 8, claim 8 inherits all the limitations of claim 7. See rejection of claim 3.

(9) With regard to claim 9, claim 9 inherits all the limitations of claim 7. See rejection of claim 4.

(10) With regard to claim 10, claim 10 inherits all the limitations of claim 6. See rejection of claim 5.

(11) With regard to claim 11, see rejection of claim 1.

(12) With regard to claim 12, claim 12 inherits all the limitations of claim 11. See rejection of claim 2.

(13) With regard to claim 13, claim 13 inherits all the limitations of claim 12. See rejection of claim 3.

(14) With regard to claim 14, claim 14 inherits all the limitations of claim 12. See rejection of claim 4.

(15) With regard to claim 15, claim 15 inherits all the limitations of claim 11. See rejection of claim 5.

4. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen (US Patent 5,905,766) in view of Cole et al. (US Patent 6,260,152) as applied to claim 1 in view of Radi (US Patent 6,594,327).

(1) With regard to claim 16, claim 16 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Nguyen in combination with Cole et al. further discloses a first-in-first-out (FIFO) buffer (Nguyen, col. 1, lines 50-67).

However Nguyen in combination with Cole et al. do not disclose a fast pattern processor, comprising: a data buffer that stores processing blocks associated with a protocol data unit (PDU); a context memory subsystem associated with said data buffer that receives said processing blocks; a pattern processing engine, associated with said

Art Unit: 2611

context memory, that performs pattern matching upon said processing blocks; and an output interface subsystem that receives said processing blocks from said data buffer or said context memory subsystem and re-transmits packets or payloads embodied within said processing blocks, said output interface subsystem.

However Radi discloses in (Figs. 1 and 2) a fast pattern processor (100), comprising: a data buffer (206) that stores processing blocks associated with a protocol data unit (PDU) (col. 4, lines 26-31); a context memory subsystem (206) associated with said data buffer that receives said processing blocks; a pattern processing engine (206), associated with said context memory, that performs pattern matching upon said processing blocks (col. 4, lines 3-15); and an output interface subsystem (Fig. 1 (106, 104)) that receives said processing blocks from said data buffer or said context memory subsystem and re-transmits packets or payloads embodied within said processing blocks, said output interface subsystem (col. 3, lines 3-6, 11-29, 35-43).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nguyen in view of Radi to incorporate a fast pattern processor, comprising: a data buffer that stores processing blocks associated with a protocol data unit (PDU); a context memory subsystem associated with said data buffer that receives said processing blocks; a pattern processing engine, associated with said context memory, that performs pattern matching upon said processing blocks; and an output interface subsystem that receives said processing blocks from said data buffer or said context memory subsystem and re-transmits packets or payloads embodied within said

processing blocks, said output interface subsystem in order to provide a synchronizer that can be used for two different standards or protocol (Radi, col. 2, lines 6-20).

(2) With regard to claim 17, claim 17 inherits all the limitations of claim 16. Cole et al. further discloses in (Fig. 2) synchronous notification subsystem (30) includes: a first logic device (32) configured to generate a first intermediate signal based upon said event signal and a clock signal of said second clock zone device; a second logic device (34) configured to generate a second intermediate signal based upon said first intermediate signal and said clock signal of said second clock zone device; a third logic device (36) configured to generate a third intermediate signal based upon said second intermediate signal and said clock signal of said second clock zone device; and a comparison logic device (38) configured to generate said synchronous notification signal based upon said second and third intermediate signals (abstract, col. 3, lines 3-21, col. 4, lines 1-18).

(3) With regard to claim 18, claim 3 inherits all the limitations of claim 17. Cole et al. further discloses in (Fig. 2) wherein the first (32), second (34), and third (36) logic devices are "D" type flip-flops.

(4) With regard to claim 19, claim 4 inherits all the limitations of claim 17. Cole et al. further discloses in (Fig. 2) wherein the comparison logic device is an exclusive-OR (XOR) gate (38).

(5) With regard to claim 20, claim 20 inherits all the limitations of claim 16. Cole et al. further discloses in (Fig. 3) wherein said synchronous notification subsystem (Fig.

Art Unit: 2611

2 (30)) synchronizes said event signal (LSB) to said second clock rate (CLK2) based upon a positive edge transition of said event signal.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-3047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw
May 8, 2006


KHAI TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER